

# $G$ -Invariant deformations of almost-coupling Poisson structures

José Antonio VALLEJO<sup>†</sup> and Yury VOROBIEV<sup>‡</sup>

<sup>†</sup> *Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Autónoma de San Luis Potosí, México*

E-mail: [mailto:jvallejo@fc.uaslp.mx](mailto:mailto:jvallejo@fc.uaslp.mx)

URL: <http://galia.fc.uaslp.mx/~jvallejo/>

<sup>‡</sup> *Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad de Sonora, México*

E-mail: [yurimv@guaymas.uson.mx](mailto:yurimv@guaymas.uson.mx)

Received ???, in final form ???; Published online ???

[http://dx.doi.org/10.3842/SIGMA.201\\*.\\*\\*\\*](http://dx.doi.org/10.3842/SIGMA.201*.***)

**Abstract.** On a foliated manifold equipped with an action of a compact Lie group  $G$ , we study a class of almost-coupling Poisson and Dirac structures, in the context of the deformation theory and the method of averaging.

*Key words:* Poisson geometry; Dirac structures; Deformation; Averaging

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:* 53D17; 70G45; 58H15

## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we develop further the results of [20, 18], on the construction of invariant Poisson and Dirac structures via the averaging method on foliated Poisson manifolds with symmetry in the context of deformation theory.

For a Poisson bivector field  $\Pi$  on a foliated manifold  $(M, \mathcal{F})$ , the  $\mathcal{F}$ -almost-coupling property [15] means the existence of a normal bundle structure  $\mathbb{H}$  of  $\mathcal{F}$ , such that in the  $\mathbb{H}$ -dependent bigraded decomposition of  $\Pi$ , the mixed term of bidegree  $(1, 1)$  vanishes. In particular, such class of Poisson structure contains the  $\mathcal{F}$ -coupling Poisson structures which play important roles in some problems of semi-local Poisson geometry [19, 15, 10, 9]. Moreover, the (almost) coupling constructions can be naturally extended to the Dirac category [16, 21, 5].

Now, starting with a foliated Poisson manifold  $(M, \mathcal{F}, P)$ , equipped with a leaf preserving action of a compact Lie group  $G$ , our point is to study, in the context of the averaging method, some deformations  $\{\Pi_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon \in [0, 1]}$  of the leaf-tangent Poisson bivector field  $P$  in the class of the  $\mathcal{F}$ -almost-coupling Poisson structures  $\Pi_\varepsilon$ . Our approach is based on the averaging technique [18] related to a class of exact gauge transformations for Poisson and Dirac structures. The idea of the construction of  $G$ -invariant Poisson structures is to follow the path:

$$\text{Poisson} \xrightarrow{\text{averaging}} G\text{-invariant Dirac} \xrightarrow{\text{non-degeneracy}} G\text{-invariant Poisson}$$

For the case of a  $G$ -action which is locally Hamiltonian relative to  $P$ , we give some results about the realization of the above scheme for a class of  $\mathcal{F}$ -almost-coupling Poisson deformations of  $P$ . Within the framework of perturbation theory, these results can be applied to the study of invariant normal forms for Hamiltonian systems of adiabatic type [1], associated to deformations of Poisson structures [4, 2]. This connection with Physics is a justification for our work, but the details of these applications will appear elsewhere.

## 2 Preliminaries

Let us recall some basic facts that will be used later on, related to the averaging procedure with respect to the action of compact Lie groups, for Poisson and Dirac structures [18].

### 2.1 Gauge Transformations of Dirac Manifolds

Let  $(M, D)$  be a Dirac manifold, that is, a smooth regular distribution  $D \subset TM \oplus T^*M$  which is maximally isotropic with respect to the natural symmetric pairing on  $TM \oplus T^*M$ , and involutive with respect to the Courant bracket [7, 8]. The Dirac manifold  $(M, D)$  carries a (singular) presymplectic foliation  $(\mathcal{S}, \omega)$ : Its leaves are the maximal integral manifolds of the integrable (singular) distribution  $p_T(D) \subset TM$ , and the leafwise presymplectic structure  $\omega$  is defined by  $\omega_q(X, Y) = -\alpha(Y)$ , for  $(X, Y) \in C_q$  and  $(X, \alpha) \in D_q$ . Here  $p_T : TM \oplus T^*M \rightarrow TM$  is the natural projection.

We can modify the leafwise presymplectic structure  $\omega$  by the pull back of a closed 2-form on the base  $B \in \Omega^2(M)$ : For each presymplectic leaf  $(S, \omega_S)$ , we define the new presymplectic structure as  $\omega_S + \iota_S^* B$ , where  $\iota_S : S \hookrightarrow M$  is the inclusion map. Then, the foliation  $\mathcal{S}$  equipped with the deformed leafwise presymplectic structure gives rise to the new Dirac structure

$$\tau_B(D) = \{(X, \alpha - \mathbf{i}_X B) \mid (X, \alpha) \in D\}.$$

This transformation  $\tau_B$  preserves the presymplectic foliation of  $D$ , and is called the *gauge transformation* associated to the closed 2-form  $B$  [14, 6].

In particular, the foliation  $(\mathcal{S}, \omega)$  is symplectic if and only if  $D$  is the graph of a Poisson bivector field  $\Pi$  on  $M$ ,

$$D = \text{Graph } \Pi = \{(\Pi^\sharp \alpha, \alpha) \mid \alpha \in \Omega^1(M)\}, \quad (1)$$

where  $\Pi^\sharp : T^*M \rightarrow TM$  is the induced vector bundle endomorphism given by  $\alpha \mapsto \mathbf{i}_\alpha \Pi$ . Condition (1) can be expressed as follows

$$D \cap (TM \oplus \{0\}) = \{(0, 0)\}.$$

Notice that, in general, for a given closed 2-form  $B$ , the gauge transformation  $\tau_B$  takes  $\text{Graph } \Pi$  to another Dirac structure

$$\tau_B(\text{Graph } \Pi) = \{(\Pi^\sharp \alpha, \alpha - \mathbf{i}_{\Pi^\sharp \alpha} B) \mid \alpha \in \Omega^1(M)\}$$

which may not necessarily come from a Poisson bivector field. The following lemma states a simple, but useful, criterion (here we denote by  $B^\flat : TM \rightarrow T^*M$  the vector bundle endomorphism given by  $X \mapsto \mathbf{i}_X B$ ).

**Lemma 2.1.** *If the endomorphism*

$$(\text{Id} - B^\flat \circ \Pi^\sharp) : T^*M \rightarrow T^*M$$

*is invertible, then the Dirac structure  $\tau_B(\text{Graph } \Pi)$  is the graph of the Poisson tensor  $\tau_B(\Pi)$  whose induced endomorphism defined by*

$$\tau_B(\Pi)^\sharp = \Pi^\sharp \circ (\text{Id} - B^\flat \circ \Pi^\sharp)^{-1}.$$

## 2.2 $G$ -Averaging Procedure

Let  $G$  be a connected, compact Lie group, and  $\mathfrak{g}$  its Lie algebra. Suppose we are given a smooth (left) action  $\Phi : G \times M \rightarrow M$  on a manifold  $M$ . For every  $a \in \mathfrak{g}$ , the corresponding infinitesimal generator is denoted by  $a_M \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ ,

$$a_M(q) := \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \Phi_{\exp(ta)}(q), \quad q \in M.$$

For every tensor field  $T$  on  $M$ , we denote by  $\langle T \rangle^G$  its  $G$ -average, which is defined as

$$\langle T \rangle^G := \int_G \Phi_g^* T \, dg,$$

where  $dg$  is the normalized Haar measure on  $G$ . This averaging procedure can be also applied to Dirac structures [18]: Let  $D \subset TM \oplus T^*M$  be a Dirac structure on  $M$ , and let  $(\mathcal{S}, \omega)$  be its associated presymplectic foliation, carrying the leafwise presymplectic form  $\omega$ .

**Definition 2.1.** The  $G$ -action on  $M$  is *compatible* with the Dirac structure  $D$  if each presymplectic leaf  $(S, \omega_S)$ , of  $(\mathcal{S}, \omega)$ , is invariant under the action of  $G$ , and there exists a  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear mapping  $\rho \in \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}, \Omega^1(M))$  such that

$$\mathbf{i}_{a_M} \omega_S = -\iota_S^* \rho_a, \quad (2)$$

for every  $a \in \mathfrak{g}$ .

Equivalently, condition (2) can be rewritten as follows

$$(a_M, \rho_a) \in \Gamma(D) \text{ for all } a \in \mathfrak{g}. \quad (3)$$

Then, we have the following fact [18].

**Lemma 2.2.** *If the condition (2) is satisfied, there exists a Dirac structure  $\overline{D}$  on  $M$  with the following properties:*

(a) *The leaf wise presymplectic form of  $\overline{D}$  is defined as*

$$\langle \omega \rangle_S^G := \omega_S - \iota_S^* d\Theta,$$

*where  $\Theta \in \Omega^1(M)$  is the 1-form determined in terms of the  $G$ -action and  $\rho$  by*

$$\Theta := \int_G \left( \int_0^1 \Phi_{\exp(\tau a)}^* \rho_a \, d\tau \right) dg \quad (g = \exp a). \quad (4)$$

(b)  $\overline{D}$  *is  $G$ -invariant:*

$$(X, \alpha) \in \Gamma(D) \text{ implies that } (\Phi_g^* X, \Phi_g^* \alpha) \in \Gamma(D) \text{ for all } g \in G.$$

(c) *The Dirac structure  $\overline{D}$  is related to  $D$  by an exact gauge transformation:*

$$\overline{D} = \{(X, \alpha + \mathbf{i}_X d\Theta) \mid (X, \alpha) \in D\}.$$

The Dirac structure  $\overline{D}$  will be called the  $G$ -average of  $D$  relative to the compatible  $G$ -action. As we have mentioned above, for the case in which the Dirac structure is the graph of a Poisson tensor  $\Pi$  on  $M$ ,  $D = \text{Graph } \Pi$ , its  $G$ -average  $\overline{D} = \tau_B(D)$ , where  $B = -d\Theta$ , does not necessarily come from a Poisson structure. In other words: In general, the averaging of Poisson structures via compatible  $G$ -actions only leads to  $G$ -invariant Dirac structures; but, by Lemma 2.1, in the particular case of an invertible endomorphism

$$\text{Id} + (d\Theta)^\flat \circ \Pi^\sharp,$$

we can say something more, namely, that the  $G$ -average  $\overline{D}$  is the graph of the  $G$ -invariant Poisson tensor  $\overline{\Pi} = \tau_B(\Pi)$ .

### 2.3 $G$ -Invariant Connections

Recall that a (*generalized*) *connection* on a manifold  $M$  [13], is a vector-valued 1-form  $\gamma \in \Omega^1(M; TM)$  satisfying the following conditions:  $\gamma^2 = \gamma$ , and the rank of the distribution  $\text{Im } \gamma \subset TM$  is constant on  $M$ . Assume that the action  $\Phi : G \times M \rightarrow M$ , of the compact connected Lie group  $G$  on  $M$ , preserves the image of  $\gamma$ ,  $d_q \Phi_g \circ \gamma_q = \gamma_{\Phi_g(q)}$ , for all  $q \in M$ ,  $g \in G$ . Then, the  $G$ -average of  $\gamma$  is the vector-valued 1-form  $\langle \gamma \rangle^G \in \Omega^1(M, TM)$  defined by the formula

$$\langle \gamma \rangle^G(X) := \int_G \Phi_g^*(\gamma((\Phi_g)_*X)) dg,$$

where  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$  is any vector field, and this is a  $G$ -invariant connection on  $M$ .

## 3 $\mathcal{F}$ -Almost-coupling Structures

In this section, we present some basic definitions and facts concerning the coupling procedure on foliated Poisson and Dirac manifolds (for more details, see [19, 15, 16]). The term ‘coupling’ comes from Sternberg’s coupling method on symplectic fiber bundles (see, for example, [12]).

Suppose we are given a regular foliated manifold  $(M, \mathcal{F})$ . We will denote by  $\mathbb{V} := T\mathcal{F}$  the tangent bundle of  $\mathcal{F}$ , and by  $\mathbb{V}^0 = \text{Ann}(T\mathcal{F}) \subset T^*M$  its annihilator. As usual, by a normal bundle of the foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  we mean a sub-bundle  $\mathbb{H} \subset TM$  which is complementary to the tangent bundle  $\mathbb{V}$ ,

$$TM = \mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{V}. \quad (5)$$

To a normal bundle  $\mathbb{H}$ , there is associated a vector valued 1-form  $\gamma \in \Omega^1(M; TM)$ , defined as the canonical projection along  $\mathbb{H}$ ,  $\gamma := pr_{\mathbb{H}} : TM \rightarrow \mathbb{V}$ . This form satisfies  $\gamma^2 = \gamma$  and  $\text{Im } \gamma = \mathbb{V}$ , and hence defines an (Ehresmann) connection on the foliated manifold  $(M, \mathcal{F})$  (see [13]). The curvature of the connection  $\gamma$  is the vector-valued 2-form  $R^\gamma \in \Omega^2(M; \mathbb{V})$  given by  $R^\gamma = \frac{1}{2}[\gamma, \gamma]_{\text{FN}}$ , where  $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\text{FN}}$  denotes the Frölicher-Nijenhuis bracket for vector valued forms on  $M$  [13]. The curvature controls the integrability of the normal sub-bundle, in the sense that  $\mathbb{H}$  is integrable if and only if  $\gamma$  is flat,  $R^\gamma = 0$ .

According to (5), we have the dual splitting

$$T^*M = \mathbb{V}^0 \oplus \mathbb{H}^0. \quad (6)$$

Then, (5) and (6) induce an  $\mathbb{H}$ -dependent bigrading of multivector fields and differential forms on  $M$ . For any  $A \in \Gamma(\wedge^k TM)$  and  $\alpha \in \Omega^k(M)$ , we have  $A = \sum_{s+l=k} A_{s,l}$  and  $\alpha = \sum_{s+l=k} \alpha_{s,l}$ , where the elements  $A_{s,l}$  and  $\alpha_{s,l}$ , belonging to the subspaces  $\Gamma(\wedge^s \mathbb{H}) \otimes \Gamma(\wedge^l \mathbb{V})$  and  $\Gamma(\wedge^s \mathbb{V}^0) \otimes \Gamma(\wedge^l \mathbb{H}^0)$ , respectively, are said to be multivector fields and forms of bidegree  $(s, l)$ . Moreover, the exterior differential  $d$  on  $M$  inherits the  $\mathbb{H}$ -bigrading decomposition (see [15]) in the form  $d = d_{1,0} + d_{2,-1} + d_{0,1}$ .

We will need the following definition [19, 15].

**Definition 3.1.** A *Poisson structure*  $\Pi$  on the foliated manifold  $(M, \mathcal{F})$  is said to be  $\mathcal{F}$ -almost-coupling, via a normal bundle  $\mathbb{H}$  of the foliation  $\mathcal{F}$ , if the image of  $\mathbb{V}^0$  under the vector bundle morphism  $\Pi^\sharp : T^*M \rightarrow TM$ , belongs to  $\mathbb{H}$ :

$$\Pi^\sharp(\mathbb{V}^0) \subseteq \mathbb{H}. \quad (7)$$

This condition means that, in the bigraded decomposition of  $\Pi$  associated to (5), the mixed term  $\Pi_{1,1}$  is zero and  $\Pi = \Pi_{2,0} + \Pi_{0,2}$ , where  $\Pi_{2,0} \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 \mathbb{H})$  and  $\Pi_{0,2} \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 \mathbb{V})$  is a Poisson tensor. The characteristic distribution of  $\Pi$  belongs to the (possibly non-integrable) distribution  $\mathbb{H} \oplus \Pi_{0,2}^\sharp(\mathbb{H}^0)$ .

**Remark 3.1.** Notice that conditions (5), (7) hold in the case in which the bivector field  $\Pi$  is compatible with the foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  by the following transversality and regularity conditions:

$$\Pi^\sharp(\mathbb{V}^0) \cap \mathbb{V} = \{0\}, \quad (8)$$

and

$$\text{rank } \Pi^\sharp(\mathbb{V}^0) = \text{constant on } M. \quad (9)$$

In this case, it follows from (8), (9) that the normal bundle of  $\mathcal{F}$  in (7) can be constructed as follows:  $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{H}' \oplus \Pi^\sharp(\mathbb{V}^0)$ , where  $\mathbb{H}' \subset TM$  is an arbitrary sub-bundle, complementary to the regular distribution  $\Pi^\sharp(\mathbb{V}^0) \oplus \mathbb{V}$ .

The  $\mathcal{F}$ -coupling situation occurs when, along with (8), we have

$$\text{rank } \Pi^\sharp(\mathbb{V}^0) = \text{codim } \mathcal{F} \text{ on } M, \quad (10)$$

and hence the normal bundle  $\mathbb{H}$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  in (7), associated to  $\Pi$ , is unique and given by

$$\mathbb{H} = \Pi^\sharp(\mathbb{V}^0). \quad (11)$$

In this case,  $\Pi$  is said to be an  $\mathcal{F}$ -coupling Poisson structure. The factorization of the Jacobi identity for  $\Pi$  implies that the intrinsic connection  $\gamma$ , associated with the normal bundle (11), possesses the following properties [19, 15]: The connection  $\gamma$  is *Poisson* on the Poisson bundle  $(M, \mathcal{F}, \Pi_{0,2})$ , that is,  $\mathcal{L}_X \Pi_{0,2} = 0$  for any projectable section  $X \in \Gamma_{\text{pr}}(\mathbb{H})$  (recall that the projectability property for  $X$  on the foliated manifold  $(M, \mathcal{F})$  is expressed as  $[X, \Gamma(\mathbb{V})] \subset \Gamma(\mathbb{V})$ ). Moreover, the curvature of  $\gamma$  takes values in the space of Hamiltonian vector fields of  $\Pi_{0,2}$ :

$$R^\gamma(X, Y) = -\Pi_{0,2}^\sharp d\sigma(X, Y) \text{ for all } X, Y \in \Gamma_{\text{pr}}(\mathbb{H}). \quad (12)$$

Here, the 2-form  $\sigma \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 \mathbb{V}^0)$ , called the *coupling form*, is uniquely determined by  $\Pi$ , and satisfies the  $\gamma$ -covariant constancy condition  $d_{1,0}\sigma = 0$ .

The notion of  $\mathcal{F}$ -almost-coupling structures can be naturally generalized to the Dirac setting [16]. Given a Dirac structure  $D \subset TM \oplus T^*M$  on the foliated manifold  $(M, \mathcal{F})$ , we define the tangent distribution

$$H(D, \mathcal{F}) := \{X \in TM \mid \exists \alpha \in \mathbb{V}^0 \text{ such that } (X, \alpha) \in D\}.$$

On the other hand, fixing a normal bundle  $\mathbb{H}$  of  $\mathcal{F}$ , we consider the distributions

$$D_H := D \cap (\mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{V}^0) \quad \text{and} \quad D_V := D \cap (\mathbb{V} \oplus \mathbb{H}^0).$$

**Definition 3.2.**  $D$  is said to be an  $\mathcal{F}$ -almost-coupling Dirac structure, via a normal bundle  $\mathbb{H}$  of  $\mathcal{F}$ , if

$$H(D, \mathcal{F}) \subseteq \mathbb{H}. \quad (13)$$

One can show that condition (13) is equivalent to the following one:

$$D = D_H \oplus D_V. \quad (14)$$

In particular,  $D$  is an  $\mathcal{F}$ -coupling Dirac structure if  $H(D, \mathcal{F})$  is a normal bundle of  $\mathcal{F}$ ,

$$TM = H(D, \mathcal{F}) \oplus \mathbb{V}.$$

**Remark 3.2.** It is easy to see that these definitions agree with the corresponding notions in the Poisson case. Indeed, if  $D = \text{Graph } \Pi$ , for a certain Poisson tensor  $\Pi$ , then  $H(D, \mathcal{F}) = \Pi^\sharp(\mathbb{V}^0)$ .

Now, let us pick an arbitrary 1-form  $Q \in \Gamma(\mathbb{V}^0)$  and consider the exact gauge transformation

$$D \mapsto \tilde{D} := \tau_B(D), \quad B = -dQ. \quad (15)$$

The correspondence between integrable data of the form  $(\gamma, \sigma, P)$  and  $\mathcal{F}$ -coupling Dirac structures described in [18], carries over to the almost-coupling setting. Then, we have the following important fact (proved as in the coupling case, see Proposition 6.1 in the aforementioned paper).

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $D$  be an  $\mathcal{F}$ -almost-coupling Dirac structure, via a normal bundle  $\mathbb{H}$  of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then, the exact gauge transformation (15) sends  $D$  into the Dirac structure  $\tilde{D}$ , which is again  $\mathcal{F}$ -almost-coupling, this time via the normal bundle*

$$\tilde{\mathbb{H}} := \text{Span}\{\tilde{X} = X + P^\sharp dQ(X) \mid X \in \Gamma_{\text{pr}}(\mathbb{H})\}.$$

Notice also that the exact gauge transformation (15) leaves invariant the set of all  $\mathcal{F}$ -coupling Dirac structures on  $(M, \mathcal{F})$ .

## 4 The Averaging Theorems for Deformations

Let  $(M, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a Poisson foliation, consisting of a *regular* foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $M$ , and a leaf-tangent Poisson bivector field  $P \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 \mathbb{V})$ . Recall that we denote by  $\mathbb{V} = T\mathcal{F} \subset TM$  the tangent bundle of  $\mathcal{F}$  and by  $\mathbb{V}^0 \subset T^*M$  its annihilator. The Poisson tensor  $P$  is characterized by the property that the symplectic leaf of  $P$  through each point  $q \in M$ , belongs to the leaf  $\mathcal{F}_q$  of the regular foliation.

Consider a smooth (left) action on  $M$ ,  $\Phi : G \times M \rightarrow M$ , of a connected and compact Lie group  $G$ , which is *compatible* with the Poisson structure  $P \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 \mathbb{V})$ , in the sense that, for every  $a \in \mathfrak{g}$ , the infinitesimal generator  $a_M$  has the form

$$a_M = P^\sharp \mu_a \text{ for all } a \in \mathfrak{g}, \quad (16)$$

for a certain  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear mapping  $\mu \in \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}, \Omega^1(M))$ . In particular, this property means that the  $G$ -action preserves the leaves of the symplectic foliation of  $P$ , and condition (2) holds, that is, the  $G$ -action is compatible with the associated Dirac structure  $D^P = \text{Graph } P$ .

A  $G$ -action on the Poisson foliation is said to be *locally Hamiltonian*, if each infinitesimal generator  $a_M$  is a locally Hamiltonian vector field relative to  $P$ , in other words, one can choose the 1-form  $\mu_a$  in (16) to be closed on  $M$ ,

$$\mu_a \in \Omega_{\text{cl}}^1(M) \text{ for all } a \in \mathfrak{g}. \quad (17)$$

Suppose now that we start with a *smooth deformation*  $\{\Pi_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon \in [0,1]}$  of the *leaf-tangent Poisson structure*  $P$ , so each  $\Pi_\varepsilon$  is a Poisson tensor on  $M$ , the whole family is smoothly dependent in  $\varepsilon$ , and is such that  $\Pi_0 = P$ . Moreover, we assume that, for each  $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$ , the bivector field  $\Pi_\varepsilon$  is an  $\mathcal{F}$ -almost-coupling Poisson structure via an  $\varepsilon$ -independent normal bundle  $\mathbb{H}$  of  $\mathcal{F}$ :

$$\Pi_\varepsilon^\sharp(\mathbb{V}^0) \subseteq \mathbb{H} \text{ for all } \varepsilon \in [0, 1]. \quad (18)$$

Such a family  $\{\Pi_\varepsilon\}$ , will be called an  $\mathcal{F}$ -almost-coupling Poisson deformation of  $P$  via  $\mathbb{H}$ . It follows from (18) that each  $\Pi_\varepsilon$  admits the following bigraded decomposition relative to (5):  $\Pi_\varepsilon = (\Pi_\varepsilon)_{2,0} + (\Pi_\varepsilon)_{0,2}$ , where  $(\Pi_0)_{0,2} = P$ . We will also assume that the leaf-tangent component of  $\Pi_\varepsilon$  of bidegree  $(0, 2)$  is independent of  $\varepsilon$  and hence

$$(\Pi_\varepsilon)_{0,2} = P \text{ for all } \varepsilon \in [0, 1]. \quad (19)$$

Then,  $(\Pi_\varepsilon)_{2,0} = \varepsilon \Lambda_\varepsilon$  for a certain bivector field  $\Lambda_\varepsilon$  of bidegree  $(2, 0)$ , smoothly varying in  $\varepsilon$ , and therefore, the deformation of  $P$  can be parameterized as

$$\Pi_\varepsilon = P + \varepsilon \Lambda_\varepsilon, \quad \Lambda_\varepsilon \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 \mathbb{H}). \quad (20)$$

For a fixed  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear mapping  $\mu \in \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}, \Omega^1(M))$  in (16), we have the bigraded decomposition  $\mu = \mu_{1,0} + \mu_{0,1}$  relative to splitting (6), associated to a fixed normal bundle  $\mathbb{H}$  in (18).

Finally, let us associated to the family  $\{\Pi_\varepsilon\}$  (as in (20)) a smooth  $\varepsilon$ -dependent family of Dirac structures

$$D_\varepsilon := \text{Graph } \Pi_\varepsilon = \text{Graph } P \oplus \varepsilon \text{Graph } \Lambda_\varepsilon, \quad (21)$$

which is to be viewed as a deformation of the ‘limiting’ Dirac structure  $D_0 = \text{Graph } \Pi_0 = \text{Graph } P$ . Then, for each  $\varepsilon$ , the Dirac structure  $D_\varepsilon$  also satisfies the  $\mathcal{F}$ -almost-coupling condition (13), which can be explicitly written as follows:

$$p_T(D_\varepsilon \cap (\mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{V}^0)) \subseteq \mathbb{H}. \quad (22)$$

Now, under the above hypotheses, we are in position to state our main results regarding the existence of the  $G$ -average for these deformations of Dirac and Poisson structures.

**Theorem 4.1.** *For every  $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$ , the  $G$ -average  $\overline{D}_\varepsilon$ , of the  $\mathcal{F}$ -almost-coupling Dirac structure  $D_\varepsilon$  in (21), is well-defined and given by the exact gauge transformation*

$$\overline{D}_\varepsilon = \{(X, \alpha + \mathbf{i}_X d\Theta) \mid (X, \alpha) \in D_\varepsilon\}, \quad (23)$$

where  $\Theta$  is the 1-form defined by (4), with  $\rho = \mu_{0,1}$ .

**Proof.** It follows from (20) that, for every  $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$  and  $a \in \mathfrak{g}$ , we have

$$\Pi_\varepsilon^\sharp(\mu_a)_{0,1} = (\Pi_\varepsilon)_{0,2}^\sharp(\mu_a)_{0,1} = (\Pi_\varepsilon)_{0,2}^\sharp \mu_a = P^\sharp \mu_a = a_M,$$

and, hence, the  $G$ -action preserves the symplectic leaves of  $\Pi_\varepsilon^\sharp$ , and the compatibility condition (2) holds for  $\rho = \mu_{0,1}$ . Then, by Lemma 2.2, the  $G$ -average of  $D_\varepsilon$  is well-defined and given by (23). ■

**Theorem 4.2.** *If the  $G$ -action is locally Hamiltonian (condition (17)), then, for any  $G$ -invariant open domain with compact closure  $N \subset M$ , and for sufficiently small  $\varepsilon \in [0, \delta]$  (with  $0 < \delta < 1$ ), the restriction of the Dirac structure (23),  $\overline{D}_\varepsilon|_N$ , is the graph of a  $G$ -invariant Poisson structure  $\overline{\Pi}_\varepsilon$  on  $N$  defined by*

$$\overline{\Pi}_\varepsilon^\sharp = \Pi_\varepsilon^\sharp \circ (\text{Id} + (dQ)^\flat \circ \Pi_\varepsilon^\sharp)^{-1} \quad (24)$$

with  $\overline{\Pi}_0 = P$ . Here  $Q \in \Gamma(\mathbb{V}^0)$  is expressed as

$$Q := - \int_G \left( \int_0^1 \Phi_{\exp(\tau a)}^* (\mu_a)_{1,0} dt \right) dg \quad (g = \exp a). \quad (25)$$

Moreover, the Poisson structure  $\overline{\Pi}_\varepsilon$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -almost-coupling via the following  $G$ -invariant normal bundle of  $\mathcal{F}$ :

$$\overline{\mathbb{H}} := \text{Span}\{\bar{X} = X + P^\sharp dQ(X) \mid X \in \Gamma_{\text{pr}}(\mathbb{H})\}. \quad (26)$$



**Proof.** Under the hypothesis that the  $G$ -action is locally Hamiltonian, let us fix a  $G$ -invariant relatively compact domain  $N \subseteq M$ . Let  $B = -d\Theta$ , with  $\Theta$  constructed as in (4). By Lemma 2.1 it suffices to show that there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\left( \text{Id} - B^\flat \circ \Pi_\varepsilon^\sharp \right) \text{ is invertible on } N \text{ for } \varepsilon \in [0, \delta]. \quad (27)$$

In terms of the bigraded components of  $\mu$ , the closedness condition  $d\mu = 0$  splits into

$$d_{0,1}\mu_{0,1} = 0, \quad d_{1,0}\mu_{0,1} = -d_{0,1}\mu_{1,0}, \quad d_{2,-1}\mu_{0,1} = -d_{1,0}\mu_{1,0}.$$

By using these relations, we see from (4) that  $B = -d\Theta = -dQ$ , where  $Q \in \Gamma(\mathbb{V}^0)$  is given by (25). It follows that  $B = B_{2,0} + B_{1,1}$ , where  $B_{2,0} = -d_{1,0}Q$  and  $B_{1,1} = -d_{0,1}Q$ . From here, taking into account (20), for an arbitrary  $\alpha = \alpha_{1,0} + \alpha_{0,1} \in \Omega^1(M)$ , we get

$$(B^\flat \circ \Pi_\varepsilon^\sharp)(\alpha_{1,0} + \alpha_{0,1}) = B_{1,1}^\flat \circ P^\sharp \alpha_{0,1} + \varepsilon \left( B_{2,0}^\flat \circ (\Lambda_\varepsilon)_{2,0}^\sharp \alpha_{1,0} + B_{1,1}^\flat \circ (\Lambda_\varepsilon)_{2,0}^\sharp \alpha_{1,0} \right).$$

This shows that the matrix of the morphism  $\text{Id} - B^\flat \circ \Pi_\varepsilon^\sharp : T^*M \rightarrow T^*M$ , in a local basis compatible with the splitting (6), has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} I & * \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} + O(\varepsilon).$$

This fact, together with a standard compactness argument, proves (27). Furthermore, it follows that

$$(\text{Id} + (dQ)^\flat \circ P^\sharp)^{-1} = \text{Id} - (dQ)^\flat \circ P^\sharp, \quad (28)$$

and

$$P^\sharp \circ (dQ)^\flat \circ P^\sharp = 0. \quad (29)$$

From here and (24), we conclude that  $\overline{\Pi}_0 = P$ . Finally, to show that the Poisson structure  $\overline{\Pi}_\varepsilon$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -almost coupling, we consider the connection  $\gamma$  associated to the normal bundle  $\mathbb{H}$  and its  $G$ -average  $\langle \gamma \rangle^G$ . Then, the normal bundle  $\ker \langle \gamma \rangle^G$  just coincides with  $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$  in (26) (see [18]). Applying Lemma 3.1 ends the proof.  $\blacksquare$

Consider now the case of  $\Pi_\varepsilon$  a coupling Poisson structure for  $\varepsilon \neq 0$ , that is,  $\mathbb{H} = \Pi_\varepsilon^\sharp(\mathbb{V}^0)$  is a normal bundle of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then, the corresponding Dirac structure is represented as

$$D_\varepsilon = \text{Graph}(\Pi_\varepsilon) = \{(\varepsilon X + P^\sharp \alpha, \alpha - \mathbf{i}_X \sigma_\varepsilon) \mid X \in \Gamma(\mathbb{H}), \alpha \in \Gamma(\mathbb{H}^0)\},$$

where the 2-form  $\sigma_\varepsilon \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 \mathbb{V}^0)$  smoothly depends in  $\varepsilon$ , satisfies the  $\mathbb{H}$ -covariant constancy condition  $d_{1,0}\sigma_\varepsilon = 0$ , and has the following expansion around  $\varepsilon = 0$ :

$$\sigma_\varepsilon = c + \varepsilon \sigma + O(\varepsilon^2). \quad (30)$$

Here, the 2-form  $c \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 \mathbb{V}^0)$  takes values in the Casimir functions of  $P$ ,

$$c(X, Y) \in \text{Casim}(M, P) \text{ for all } X, Y \in \Gamma_{\text{pr}}(\mathbb{H}),$$

and the 2-form  $\sigma \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 \mathbb{V}^0)$  satisfies the curvature identity (12) with  $\Pi_{0,2} = P$  (for more details, see [15, 18]). From these remarks and Theorem 4.2, we deduce the following consequence.



**Corollary 4.1.** *In the coupling case, for a locally Hamiltonian  $G$ -action, the  $G$ -average  $\overline{D}_\varepsilon$  is an  $\mathcal{F}$ -coupling Dirac structure via the invariant normal bundle  $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$  given by:*

$$\overline{D}_\varepsilon = \{(\varepsilon X + P^\sharp \alpha, \alpha - \mathbf{i}_X \sigma_\varepsilon + \mathbf{i}_{\varepsilon X + P^\sharp \alpha} dQ) \mid X \in \Gamma(\mathbb{H}), \alpha \in \Gamma(\mathbb{H}^0)\}.$$

In particular,  $\overline{D}_0 = \text{Graph}(P)$ .

A natural question concerns the relationship between the original,  $\varepsilon$ -dependent, Poisson structure  $\Pi_\varepsilon$ , and its  $G$ -average  $\overline{\Pi}_\varepsilon$ , as  $\varepsilon$  tends to 0.

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $\overline{\Pi}_\varepsilon$  be the  $G$ -average of the  $\mathcal{F}$ -almost-coupling Poisson structure  $\Pi_\varepsilon$  defined in (24). Let  $N \subset M$  be a  $G$ -invariant open subset whose closure is compact. There exists a smooth isotopy  $\phi_\varepsilon : N \rightarrow M$ , such that, for  $\varepsilon$  sufficiently small,*

$$\phi_\varepsilon^* \Pi_\varepsilon = \overline{\Pi}_\varepsilon, \quad \phi_0 = \text{Id}$$

on  $N$ .

**Proof.** Following the reasoning in the proof of Theorem 4.2, we can show that there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that the gauge transformation of  $\Pi_\varepsilon$ , associated to the exact 2-form  $tB = -tdQ$ , exists for all  $\varepsilon \in [0, \delta]$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ , and gives the 2-parameter family of Poisson structures on  $N$ ,  $\Pi_{\varepsilon, t}$ , characterized by

$$\Pi_{\varepsilon, t}^\sharp = \Pi_\varepsilon^\sharp \circ (\text{Id} + t(dQ)^\flat \circ \Pi_\varepsilon^\sharp)^{-1}. \quad (31)$$

Then,  $\Pi_{\varepsilon, 0} = \Pi_\varepsilon$  and  $\Pi_{\varepsilon, 1} = \overline{\Pi}_\varepsilon$ . Fixing  $\varepsilon \in [0, \delta]$ , one can verify [11, 18] that the time-dependent vector field on  $N$  given by

$$Z_{\varepsilon, t} = -\Pi_{\varepsilon, t}^\sharp(Q) = -\Pi_\varepsilon^\sharp \circ (\text{Id} + t(dQ)^\flat \circ \Pi_\varepsilon^\sharp)^{-1}(Q) \quad (32)$$

satisfies the homotopy equation (where  $[\![\cdot, \cdot]\!]$  denotes the Schouten bracket for multivector fields on  $M$  [17])

$$[\![Z_{\varepsilon, t}, \Pi_{\varepsilon, t}]\!] = -\frac{d\Pi_{\varepsilon, t}}{dt}. \quad (33)$$

Moreover, we have  $\Pi_{0, t} = P$  and  $Z_{0, t} = -P^\sharp(Q) = 0$ . These facts, together with the compactness of the closure of  $N$ , show that (by shrinking  $\delta > 0$  if necessary), for each  $\varepsilon \in [0, \delta]$ , the flow  $\text{Fl}_{Z_{\varepsilon, t}}^t$  of  $Z_{\varepsilon, t}$  is well-defined on  $N$ , for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Then, it suffices to put  $\phi_\varepsilon = \text{Fl}_{Z_{\varepsilon, t}}^t \big|_{t=1}$ . ■

## 5 Infinitesimal Deformations

In this section, we will derive a first-order approximation formula for the averaged Poisson structure in (24).

Let  $\Pi_\varepsilon = P + \varepsilon \Lambda_0 + O(\varepsilon^2)$  be a family of almost-coupling Poisson structures on  $M$ . From the Jacobi identity  $[\![\Pi_\varepsilon, \Pi_\varepsilon]\!] = 0 = [\![P, P]\!]$ , and it follows that the bivector field  $\Lambda_0 \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 \mathbb{H})$  is a 2-cocycle in the Lichnerowicz-Poisson complex of  $(M, P)$ ,  $[\![P, \Lambda_0]\!] = 0$ . This 2-cocycle  $\Lambda_0$ , determines the infinitesimal (first-order) part of the almost-coupling deformation of  $P$ . Then, taking into account the identities (28) and (29), we deduce from (24)

$$\overline{\Pi}_\varepsilon = P + \varepsilon \overline{\Lambda}_0 + O(\varepsilon^2), \quad (34)$$

where  $\overline{\Lambda}_0$  is another  $G$ -invariant 2-cocycle in the Lichnerowicz-Poisson complex, given by

$$\overline{\Lambda}_0^\flat = \left( \text{Id} - P^\sharp \circ (dQ)^\flat \right) \circ \Lambda_0^\flat \circ \left( \text{Id} - (dQ)^\flat \circ P^\sharp \right).$$

By varying (34) with respect to  $\varepsilon$ , we get the following infinitesimal version of Theorem 4.3.

**Proposition 5.1.** *The cohomology classes of the 2-cocycles  $\Lambda_0$  and  $\bar{\Lambda}_0$ , coincide.*

**Proof.** For the infinitesimal generator  $Z_{\varepsilon,t}$  in (32), and the family of Poisson structures  $\Pi_{\varepsilon,t}$  in (31), we can evaluate their expansion around  $\varepsilon = 0$ :  $Z_{\varepsilon,t} = \varepsilon W_t + O(\varepsilon^2)$  and  $\Pi_{\varepsilon,t} = P + \varepsilon \Psi_t + O(\varepsilon^2)$ , where  $W_t$  and  $\Psi_t$  are a time-dependent vector field and a bivector field, respectively. Putting these expansions into (33), and collecting all first-order terms in  $\varepsilon$ , leads to the equation  $\llbracket W_t, P \rrbracket = -\frac{d\Psi_t}{dt}$ . Integrating this equation with respect to  $t$ , and taking into account that  $\Psi_0 = \Lambda_0$ , and  $\Psi_1 = \bar{\Lambda}_0$ , we get  $\bar{\Lambda}_0 - \Lambda_0 = \llbracket w, P \rrbracket$ , where  $w = -\int_0^1 W_t dt$ . ■

**Remark 5.1.** These results can be used to construct normal forms for perturbed dynamics associated to almost-coupling deformations of foliated Poisson manifolds with symmetry. Typically, such perturbed dynamics appear in the context of adiabatic theory [1] on nontrivial phase spaces, particularly those in which no global action-angle coordinates can be introduced [3, 4, 2].

We have a simple example of an  $\mathcal{F}$ -almost-coupling Poisson deformation when the foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  is a fibration.

**Example 5.1.** Suppose we start with a Poisson fiber bundle  $(\pi : M \rightarrow S, P)$  whose base  $S$  carries a Poisson structure  $\psi \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 TS)$ . Assume that there exists a flat Poisson connection  $\gamma$  on  $M$ , associated to an integrable distribution  $\mathbb{H}$ , which is complementary to the vertical one  $\mathbb{V} = \ker d\pi$ . Let  $\text{hor}^\gamma(\psi) \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 \mathbb{H})$  be the  $\gamma$ -horizontal lift of the Poisson bivector field  $\psi$ . Then, by using the standard properties of the Schouten bracket (see [17]), one can show that the bivector field

$$\Pi_\varepsilon = P + \varepsilon \text{hor}^\gamma(\psi) \quad (35)$$

satisfies the Jacobi identity and gives an almost-coupling Poisson structure for every  $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$ . It is clear that  $\Pi_\varepsilon$  is a coupling Poisson tensor on  $M$  if and only if the bivector field  $\psi$  is non-degenerate, and hence induces a symplectic form on  $S$ .

Finally, let us say some words about the physical meaning of the preceding constructions. Consider the Hamiltonian vector field  $X(\varepsilon) = \mathbf{i}_{dF}P + \varepsilon \mathbf{i}_{dF} \text{hor}^\gamma(\psi)$ , relative to a function  $F \in C^\infty(M)$  and the Poisson structure (35). The corresponding dynamical system

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\xi} = \varepsilon \mathbf{i}_{dF} \text{hor}^\gamma(\psi), \\ \dot{x} = \mathbf{i}_{dF}P, \end{cases} \quad (36)$$

belongs to the class of the so-called *slow-fast Hamiltonian systems* [1], where the coordinates  $\xi = (\xi^i)$ , along the base  $S$ , and the coordinates  $x = (x^\alpha)$ , along the fibers of  $\pi$ , are called the slow and fast variables, respectively. We observe that the infinitesimal generator of the Poisson isotopy  $\phi_\varepsilon$  in Theorem 4.3, controls the ‘geometric’ part of an invariant normalization transformation for the system (36) as  $\varepsilon$  tends to zero. As stated in Remark 5.1, these transformations, and the normal forms to which they lead, are crucial for determining asymptotic properties of the system, such as stability, existence of periodic orbits, or the computation of adiabatic invariants, see [4] for some examples.

## Acknowledgements

JAV was partially supported by the Mexican National Council of Science and Technology (CONACyT), research project CB-2012-179115. YuV research was partially supported by CONACyT under the project 219631.

## References

- [1] V.I. Arnold, V.V. Kozlov and A.I. Neishtad: *Mathematical aspects of classical and celestial mechanics*, Encyclopedia of Math. Sci., vol. **3** (Dynamical Systems III), Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1988.
- [2] M. Avendaño-Camacho and Yu. Vorobiev: *On Deformations of Poisson structures on Fibered manifolds and Adiabatic Slow-Fast Systems* (to appear).
- [3] M. Avendaño-Camacho, J. A. Vallejo and Yu.Vorobiev: *A simple global representation for second-order normal forms of Hamiltonian systems relative to periodic flows*. J. of Phys. A: Math. and Theor. **46** (2013) 395201.
- [4] M. Avendaño-Camacho, J. A. Vallejo and Yu.Vorobiev: *Higher Order Corrections to Adiabatic Invariants of Generalized Slow-Fast Hamiltonian Systems*. J. of Math. Phys. **54** (2013) 1–15.
- [5] O. Brahic and R. L. Fernandes: *Poisson fibrations and fibered symplectic groupoids*. Contemporary Mathematics. vol. **450**, AMS, Providence, R.I. (2008) 41–60.
- [6] H. Bursztyn and O. Radko: *Gauge equivalence of Dirac structures and symplectic groupoids*. Ann. Inst. Fourier **53** 1 (2003) 309–337.
- [7] T.J. Courant: *Dirac manifolds*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **319** 2 (1990) 631–661.
- [8] T.J. Courant and A. Weinstein: *Beyond Poisson structures*. In Action hamiltoniennes de groupes. Troisième théorème de Lie (Lyon, 1986), vol. **27** of Travaux en Cours, 39–49. Hermann, Paris, 1988.
- [9] M.N. Crainic and I.T. Marcut: *A normal form theorem around symplectic leaves*. J. of Diff. Geom. **92** 3 (2012) 417–461.
- [10] M. Crainic and R.L. Fernandes: *Stability of symplectic leaves*. Inv. Math. **180** 3 (2010) 481–533.
- [11] P. Frejlich and I. Marcut: *The Normal Form Theorem around Poisson Transversals*. arXiv: 1306.6055 v.3 [math. SG], 2013.
- [12] V. Guillemin, E. Lerman and S. Sternberg: *Symplectic Fibrations and Multiplicity Diagrams*. Cambridge UP, Cambridge, 1996.
- [13] I. Kolář, P.W. Michor and J. Slovák: *Natural operations in differential geometry*. Springer Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, 1993.
- [14] S. Severa and A. Weinstein: *Poisson geometry with a 3–form background*. Proc. of the Int. Workshop on Noncommutative Geometry and String Theory, Keio University (2001).
- [15] I. Vaisman: *Coupling Poisson and Jacobi structures on foliated manifolds*. Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys. **1** 5 (2004) 607–637.
- [16] I. Vaisman: *Foliation-coupling Dirac structures*. J. of Geom. and Phys. **56** 6 (2006) 917–938.
- [17] I. Vaisman: *Lectures on the Geometry of Poisson Manifolds*, vol. **118** of Prog. in Math. Birkhauser Verlag, Basel, 1994.
- [18] J.A. Vallejo and Yu. Vorobiev: *Invariant Poisson realizations and the averaging of Dirac structures*. SIGMA **10** 096 (2014) 20 pp.
- [19] Yu. Vorobjev: *Coupling tensors and Poisson geometry near a single symplectic leaf*. In: Lie Algebroids and Related Topics in Differential Geometry, Banach Center Publ., vol. **54**, Polish Acad. Sci., Warsaw, 2001, pp. 249–274.
- [20] Yu. Vorobiev: *Averaging of Poisson structures*. In Geometric Methods in Physics, AIPC Proc. **1079** (2008) 235–240.
- [21] A. Wade: *Poisson fiber bundles and Dirac structures*. Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **33** (2008) 207–217.